UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)
MICHAEL SCEARCY) Case No: 3:92CR00298-002
Date of Previous Judgment: 12/5/1994) USM No: <u>10948-058</u>) None
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)) Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence	Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment	tor of the Bureau of Prisons tor of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: □ DENIED. ■ GRANTED and the defendant the last judgment issued) of 300	t's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to 242 .
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RA Previous Offense Level: 36 Criminal History Category: IV Previous Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months	Amended Offense Level: 34 Criminal History Category: IV
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDE	ÿ <u>——</u>
	range. than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time action, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the
from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition of sup	I plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to release servised release the defendant shall submit to the local days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S. Probation
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment IT IS SO ORDERED.	dated 12/5/1994 shall remain in effect.
II IS SO ORDERED.	
Order Date: October 7, 2009	Frank To hither
Effective Date: (if different from order date)	Frank D. Whitney United States District Judge